

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,092	03/11/2004	Sabine Oepen	50817-02	4576	
26474 75	90 05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP			FIGUEROA, JOHN J		
1300 EYE STR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 400 EAST TOWER			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2000	DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/797,092	OEPEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Figueroa	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	June 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit	vance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 9-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/tipe Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/11/2004.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l Patent Application (PTO-152)	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 3,919,157 to Ide et al. (hereinafter 'Ide').

Ide discloses a thermoplastic impact resistant composition having high transparency, strength, surface hardness and toughness; said composition comprising a resin (I) that contains a copolymer of styrene, acrylonitrile and methyl-methacrylate and a graft component that can include a styrene-butadiene rubber, styrene and/or a copolymer of styrene and acrylonitrile, and (II) an organopolysiloxane. (See Abstract; col. 1, lines 46-68; col. 2, lines 1-59; col. 3, lines 1-25; col. 23, lines 10-37)

In Examples 1-3, Ide discloses a resin composition comprising a graft copolymer latex containing a butadiene/styrene rubber and an acrylonitrile-styrene copolymer, an acrylonitrile-styrene-methacrylate terpolymer and polydimethylsiloxane. In Example 22 (referring back to 3) 0.05 parts of polydimethylsiloxane were employed in the composition. (Col. 15, lines 40-43). In Example 1, the amount of the graft-copolymer latex is 100 parts and the amount of the terpolymer latex is 400 parts, giving a total of

Art Unit: 1712

500 parts which is an amount, in parts polyorganosiloxane, that would fall within the range recited in claim 10 of 10-190 ppm of polyorganosiloxane added.

On tables 1-5, Ide discloses data showing total luminous transmittance and impact strength properties resulting from adding the resin composition to a metal mold. Ide further discloses examples of adding organosiloxanes of various viscosities, ranging from about 3 to 30 centistokes, and that the results from the examples clearly demonstrate that the organosiloxane enhances the impact strength of the resulting resin composition. (Examples 22-28 on col. 15-16; Table 5, col. 17, lines 23-34)

Ide does not specifically mention the Cold Stress Whitening properties of the molding compositions. However, the ability of the polysiloxane to reduce undesirable changes in shade is an inherent property of the polysiloxane. "[T]he PTO can require an applicant to prove that the prior art products do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of his or her claimed product. Whether the rejection is based on inherency under 35 U.S.C. 102, on prima facie obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103, jointly or alternatively, the burden of proof is the same...footnote omitted." The burden of proof is similar to that required with respect to product-by-process claims. In re Fitzgerald, 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA 1980) (quoting In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433-34 (CCPA 1977)). Also, when the claim recites using an old composition or structure and the "use" is directed to a result or property of that composition or structure, then the claim is anticipated. In re May, 574 F.2d 1082, 1090, 197 USPQ 601, 607 (CCPA 1978).

Thus, the claims are anticipated by Ide.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,092 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-8916. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs & alt. Fri 8:00-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JJF/RAG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700